




IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of Robert TISCHER	
New U.S. Patent Application	Docket No.: 145547.00000
Filed: August 31, 2001	
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PRODUCING AN ORDERED COMPILATION OF INFORMATION WITH MORE THAN ONE AUTHOR CONTRIBUTING INFORMATION CONTEMPORANEOUSLY	

Box Patent Application
Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Listed on the accompanying Form PTO-1449  are documents that may be considered material to the examination of this application, in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98.

Applicants reserve the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that an exhaustive search has been made, or that there does not exist information more material to the examination of the present patent application. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith. It is further understood that the Examiner will review art of record in all 35 U.S.C. § 120 priority documents.

1. ☒ This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the U.S. filing date OR before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits. No certification or fee is required.
2. ☐ The Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date AND after the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance.


- a) ☐ I hereby certify that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
- b) ☐ I hereby certify that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
- c) ☐ Attached is our check no. _____ in the amount of \$_____ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).
3. ☐ This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, but before payment of the Issue Fee. It is hereby requested that the Information Disclosure Statement be considered. Attached is our check no. _____ in the amount of \$_____ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i)(1).
- a) ☐ I hereby certify that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
- b) ☐ I hereby certify that no item of information on this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
4. ☐ Relevance of the non-English language document(s) is discussed in the present specification.
5. ☐ The document(s) was/were cited in a corresponding foreign application. An English language version of the foreign search report is attached for the Examiner's information.

6. ☐ A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s) appears below:
7. ☐ The Examiner's attention is directed to co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. _____, filed _____, which is directed to related technical subject matter. The identification of this U.S. Patent Application is not to be construed as a waiver of secrecy as to that application now or upon issuance of the present application as a patent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the cited application and the art cited therein during examination.
8. ☐ Copies of the documents were cited by or submitted to the Office in Application No. _____, filed _____, which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the enclosed PTO-1449, and to indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

If Applicant has overlooked the payment of any fees with regard to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-1682.

Respectfully submitted,



David W. Woodward
Reg. No. 35,020
Attorney for Applicant

POWELL, GOLDSTEIN, FRAZER & MURPHY LLP
P.O. Box 97233
Washington, D.C. 20090-7233
(202) 624-3913

Dated: August 31, 2001

DWW/yea
Enclosures

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	Docket No. 145547.00000	Application No.
	Applicant(s): Robert Tischer	
	Filing Date: August 31, 2001	Group Art Unit:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	REF	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE (IF APPROPRIATE)
		6,047,288	04/2000	Kurosawa et al.	707/9		
		5,966,512	10/1999	Bates et al.	395/200.35		
		5,515,491	05/1996	Bates et al.	395/155		
		5,339,388	08/1994	Bates et al.	395/153		
		5,337,407	08/1994	Bates et al.	395/153		
		4,853,843	08/1989	Ecklund et al.	364/200		

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	REF	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION	
							YES	NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS *(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)*

	D. Decouchant, V. Quint and M.R. Salcedo. "Structured cooperative editing and group awareness", In Proceedings of the HCI International'95, 6th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (July 1995).
	D. Decouchant, V. Quint and M. Riveill, I. Vatton. "Griffon: A Cooperative, Structured, Distributed Document Editor", Bull-IMAG Technical Report, 93-01, May 1993.
	D. Decouchant, V. Quint and M. Romero Salcedo. "Structured and Distributed Cooperative Editing in a Large Scale Network", chapter in "Groupware and Authoring", edited by: Roy Rada, Academic Press, London, May 1996.
	F. Cosquer and P. Verissimo. "Survey of Selected Groupware Applications and Supporting Platforms". Technical report, 1994. Broadcast Technical Report.
	T. Reps et al. "Incremental Context-Dependent Analysis for Language-Based Editors" ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems, July 1983, Vol 5, No 3. pages 449-477.
	"Astoria Specialized Authoring Support System" Chrystal Software Product Brief, Copyright 1998.
	"Flexible Content Management for XML" Chrystal Software's contribution to: The XML Handbook, published by Prentice Hall, publication date unknown.
	"XML and Content Management: Transforming Business in the Digital Economy" Documentum Product Brief, Copyright 2000.
	J. Bates. "The state of the art in distributed and dependable computing." Technical Report ESPRIT Cabernet Sponsored Report, University of Cambridge, UK, October 1998.

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	
Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449)	SHEET 1 OF 1